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SUMMARY

Ecological and toxicological aspects of chromium (Cr) in the environment are reviewed, including its chemistry, background residues in biological and abiotic materials, beneficial and protective properties, and toxic and sublethal effects. Recommendations are presented, including proposed criteria for protection of sensitive species of wildlife and aquatic organisms.

Most authorities agree on eight points: (1) chromium levels are elevated in soil, air, water, and biota in the vicinity of electroplating and metal finishing industries, publicly owned municipal treatment plants, tanneries, oil drilling operations, and cooling towers; (2) hexavalent chromium (Cr+6) is the most biologically active Cr chemical species, although little is known about the properties of organochromium compounds, water soluble species, or their interactions in complex mixtures; (3) chromium chemistry is imperfectly understood, and existing analytical methodologies are inadequate for quantification of Cr species and ionic states; (4) chromium is an essential trace element in humans and some species of laboratory animals, but the data base is incomplete for other groups of organisms; (5) at high environmental concentrations, Cr is a mutagen, teratogen, and carcinogen; (6) no biomagnification of Cr has been observed in food chains, and concentrations are usually highest at the lowest trophic levels; (7) toxic and sublethal properties of Cr are modified by a variety of biological and abiotic factors; and (8) sensitivity to Cr varies widely, even among closely related species. As reported here, adverse effects of Cr to sensitive species have been documented at 10.0 ug/L (ppb) of Cr+6 and 30.0 ug/L of Cr+3 in freshwater and 5.0 ug/L of Cr+6 in saltwater and, to wildlife, 5.1 and 10.0 mg of Cr+6 and Cr+3, respectively, per kilogram of diet (ppm). Tissue levels in excess of 4.0 mg total Cr/kg dry weight should be viewed as presumptive evidence of Cr contamination, although the significance of tissue Cr residues is unclear. Some of these findings are in sharp contrast to Cr criteria proposed by regulatory agencies.
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INTRODUCTION

Environmental effects of chromium (Cr) have been extensively reviewed (NAS 1974; Steven et al. 1976; Snyder et al. 1977; Towill et al. 1978; Taylor and Parr 1978; Langard and Norseth 1979; Post and Campbell 1980; Hatherill 1981; Ecological Analysts 1981). These authorities agree that Cr is used widely in domestic and industrial products and that some of its chemical forms, primarily hexavalent chromium (Cr+6) and trivalent chromium (Cr+3), are toxic. In North America, thousands of tons of Cr ore and concentrates are imported annually for the production of stainless steels, chrome plated metals, pigments for inks and paints, and a wide variety of chemicals. Reports from Europe, Scandinavia, Asia, and North America all emphasize the high incidence of lung cancer and other respiratory diseases among workers involved in the manufacture of chromates. Others document that land dumping of wastes from chromate production and electroplating operations have been responsible for groundwater contamination; that discharge of Cr wastes into streams and lakes has caused damage to aquatic ecosystems and accidental poisoning of livestock; and that large amounts of Cr+3 and Cr+6 are reintroduced into the environment as sewage and solid wastes by the disposal of consumer products containing Cr.

In this account I briefly review the ecological and toxicological effects of Cr to fish and wildlife, with emphasis on migratory species, their predators, and their prey, and also provide current recommendations for the protection of sensitive species of concern to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. This report is part of a continuing series of synoptic reviews prepared in response to informational requests from FWS environmental specialists.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTRY

The annual world production of chromium is estimated at 7 million metric tons; most of the ore, in the form of chromite (FeOCr₂O₃), is produced by the USSR and the Republic of South Africa. In the United States, chromium is used principally in metallurgy and chemical industries (Langard and Norseth 1979). Although natural mobilization of Cr by weathering processes is estimated at 32 thousand tons/year, the amounts of Cr added to the environment as a result of anthropogenic activities are far greater. New York City alone contributes about 440 tons of Cr annually to the environment (Steven et al. 1976). Major atmospheric emissions of Cr are from the chromium alloy and metal producing industries; lesser amounts come from coal combustion, municipal incinerators, cement production, and cooling towers (Towill et al. 1978). Atmospheric emissions contribute 4 to 6 times more Cr to aquatic ecosystems than do liquid wastes (Ecological Analysts 1981). In aquatic environments, the major sources of chromium are the electroplating and metal finishing industries and publicly owned treatment plants; relatively minor sources (other than localized contamination) are iron and steel foundries, inorganic chemical plants, tanneries, textile manufacturing, and runoff from urban and residential areas (Towill et al. 1978; Ecological Analysts 1981). Chromium in phosphates used as fertilizers may be an important source of Cr in soil, water, and some foods (Langard and Norseth 1979). In general, elevated levels of Cr in biological or other samples have been positively correlated with increased industrial and other uses of the element—especially uses associated with plating and foundry applications, chemical manufacturing, and corrosion inhibition (Taylor and Parr 1978).

Chromium, in the crystalline form, is a steel-gray, lustrous, hard metal characterized by an atomic weight of 51.996, an atomic number of 24, a density of 7.14, a melting point of 1900°C, and a boiling point of 2642°C. Four Cr isotopes occur naturally—Cr-50 (4.3%), -52 (83.8%), -53 (9.6%), and -54 (2.4%)—and seven are man-made. Elemental Cr is very stable, but is not usually found pure in nature. Chromium can exist in oxidation states ranging from -2 to +6, but is most frequently found in the environment in the trivalent (+3) and hexavalent (+6) oxidation states. The +3 and +6 forms are the most important because the +2, +4, and +5 forms are unstable and are rapidly converted to +3, which in turn is oxidized to +6 (Towill et al. 1978; Langard and Norseth 1979; Ecological Analysts 1981).

Most compounds prepared from chromite ore contain Cr in the more stable +3 and +6 states. The Cr in essentially all environmentally important Cr compounds is in one of these two oxidation states. Chromium in biological materials is usually in the +3 form (Langard and Norseth 1979), and is the form that functions as an essential element in mammals by maintaining efficient glucose, lipid, and protein metabolism (Steven et al. 1976). In general, the toxicity of trivalent chromium to mammals is low because its membrane permeability is poor and it is noncorrosive; further, there is little tendency for Cr+3 to biomagnify in food chains in the inorganic form. However, organo-trivalent Cr compounds may have significantly different accumulation tendencies.
although little is known about these compounds (Steven et al. 1976). Hexavalent chromium is more toxic than the +3 form because its oxidizing potential is high and it easily penetrates biological membranes (Steven et al. 1976; Taylor and Parr 1978; Langard and Norseth 1979; Ecological Analysts 1981). Most of the Cr+6 found in nature is a result of domestic and industrial emissions (Steven et al. 1976). Interaction of +6 chromic oxide, dichromate, or chromate compounds with organic compounds can result in reduction to the comparatively less toxic trivalent form (Taylor and Parr 1978).

Little is known about the relation between concentrations of total Cr in a given environment and biological effects on the organisms living there. Depending on the physical and chemical state of the Cr, the same element concentration has a wide variety of mobilities and reactivities and thus has different effects (Steven et al. 1976). Chromium toxicity to aquatic biota is significantly influenced by abiotic variables such as hardness, temperature, pH, and salinity of water; and biological factors such as species, life stage, and potential differences in sensitivities of local populations (Ecological Analysts 1981). In both freshwater and marine environments, hydrolysis and precipitation are the most important processes that determine the fate and effects of chromium, whereas adsorption and bioaccumulation are relatively minor (Ecological Analysts 1981). Both Cr+3 and Cr+6 can exist in water with little organic matter; Cr+6 is usually the major species in seawater (Towill et al. 1978). Under oxygenated conditions, Cr+6 is the dominant dissolved stable Cr species in aquatic systems. The hexavalent form exists as a component of a complex anion that varies with pH and may take the form of chromate (CrO$_4^{2-}$), hydrochromate (HCrO$_4^{-}$), or dichromate (Cr$_2$O$_7^{2-}$). These ionic Cr+6 forms are highly soluble in water and thus mobile in the aquatic environment. All stable Cr+6 anionic compounds strongly oxidize organic matter on contact and yield oxidized organic matter and Cr+3 (Ecological Analysts 1981). Trivalent Cr tends to form stable complexes with negatively charged inorganic or organic compounds, and thus is unlikely to be found uncomplexed in aqueous solution if anionic or particulate compounds (such as decaying plant or animal tissues, or silt or clay particles) are present (Steven et al. 1976; Pfeiffer et al. 1980; Ecological Analysts 1981). Precipitated Cr+3 hydroxides remain in the sediments under aerobic conditions; under low pH and anoxic conditions, however, Cr+3 hydroxides may solubilize and remain as ionic Cr+3 unless oxidized to Cr+6 through mixing and aeration (Ecological Analysts 1981). Among estuarine sediments, Cr content tends to be highest in those of small grain size and high organic and iron content; concentrations in European estuaries ranged from 3.9 mg/kg in intertidal sands to 162.0 mg/kg in anaerobic muds (Rehm et al. 1984). Adsorption of Cr by sediments is salinity-dependent; adsorption is greatest at salinities of 0.1 to 1.0 ‰ (Mayer and Schick 1981). Colloidal iron strongly scavenges Cr+3 from river water; flocculation of the colloids when they are mixed with seawater, coupled with lack of removal of the colloids to the sediments by gravitational settling or scavenging by suspended sediments, promotes the flux of Cr+3 through the estuary to the open ocean (Mayer et al. 1981).

The solubility and potential bioavailability of waste Cr added to soils through sewage sludge, animal manures, and industrial wastewater are modified by soil pH and organic complexing substances (James and Bartlett 1983a, 1983b). Although soil pH can affect oxidation rates of Cr+6 to Cr+3, organic complexes appear to play a more significant role. For example, organically complexed Cr+3 added to soils may remain soluble for at least a year, whereas the free Cr+3 metal ion in the absence of soluble complexing ligands quickly becomes adsorbed, or hydrolyzed and precipitated. The biological effects of organochromium compounds, which are not well documented, appear to be high-priority subjects for further research.

All toxic effects of Cr+6 seem to be related to the strong oxidizing action of chromates, and all biological interactions of chromates seem to result in reduction to the Cr+3 form and subsequent coordination to organic molecules (Langard and Norseth 1979).

Data on the environmental cycling of Cr are lacking, and those on the biochemistry of Cr are incomplete and sparse (Towill et al. 1978); it is clear that these two subjects merit additional research. Furthermore, there is increasing concern about the uncertainties in the analysis of some types of biological and environmental samples (Towill et al. 1978; Taylor and Parr 1978). For example, collaborating laboratories have reported order-of-magnitude differences in persistence of Cr in standard bovine liver. Until more is learned about the reasons for these differences, caution should be exercised in interpreting past analytical results.
BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS

Chromium concentrations in selected nonbiological materials are elevated in the vicinity of industrial operations and municipal waste treatment facilities where chromium is a significant component of wastes discharged into the environment (Tables 1 and 2). The bioavailability of Cr in these materials was mentioned earlier, but the mechanism still remains largely unknown. It is generally agreed that suspended particulates are a major source of transport in aquatic systems, that most Cr in soil and sediment is unavailable to living organisms, that Cr+6 in air and water is hazardous to fish and wildlife, and that the grossly elevated levels of Cr (especially inorganic fractions) in sludge components may have serious implications to wildlife when the sludge is applied to croplands.

Concentrations of Cr in representative species of plants and animals collected worldwide are shown in Table 3. Additional and more comprehensive data were given by Jenkins (1980) and Eisler (1981). Chromium concentrations in species of individual taxonomic groups tended to be elevated when collection localities were near electroplating plants, tanneries, oil drilling operations, sewage outfalls, drift cooling towers, dump sites, or other sources of Cr-containing wastes that were being discharged into the environment.

Among marine algae and invertebrates, for example, comparatively high concentrations of Cr were recorded in algae, clams, and annelids from the vicinity of electroplating plants; in crabs collected near an ocean dump site receiving large quantities of metals; and in algae and echinoderms near urbanized areas in Puerto Rico. Grossly elevated levels of Cr were also noted (Table 3) in selected plasma fractions of tunicate blood, in scales from a few species of teleosts, and in corals from Cr-rich areas containing high concentrations of scandium and titanium; however, these accumulations were not attributed to anthropogenic activities. Many factors are known to modify Cr levels. In marine molluscs, as one example, Cr concentrations tended to increase with the age of the organism (Eisler et al. 1978), but uptake was significantly inhibited at high salinities (Olson and Harrel 1973). Accidental contamination of field samples by metal particles in the samples, rust from stainless hydrowire, or flaking paint from the hull of the collecting ship may also constitute significant sources of elevated Cr residues (Martin and Knauer 1973). In terrestrial ecosystems, elevated Cr levels were reported in cotton rats and plants collected near drift cooling towers and in earthworms and plants from sludge-amended soils (Table 3). However, the high levels of Cr reported in the hair of pronghorns and elk (Table 3) require verification.

A major source of concern at present is the accuracy and precision of chromium analyses in biological samples. One interlaboratory calibration study, involving 87 laboratories, showed that an oyster homogenate averaged 1.1 ppm dry weight, with a standard deviation of 0.5 ppm (Fukai et al. 1978). This means that about 67% of the laboratories were in the range of 0.6 to 1.6 ppm and about 33% of the laboratories reporting were outside this range. It seems clear that more rigorous and more standardized sample preparation techniques and analyses for chromium are needed.

BENEFICIAL AND PROTECTIVE PROPERTIES

Chromium is essential for normal metabolism of insulin and glucose in humans (Langard and Norseth 1979) and for regulating carbohydrate metabolism in mammals (Preston et al. 1976; Onkelinx 1977; Gale 1978; Towill et al. 1978; Post and Campbell 1980). Chromium deficiency has been described in rats, guinea pigs, and squirrel monkeys; signs include reduced growth, decreased life span, elevated serum cholesterol, increased formation of aortic plaques, and signs resembling those of diabetes mellitus. Subjecting Cr-deficient animals to stress can exacerbate the signs (Preston et al. 1976). In humans, Cr deficiency has been suggested as a possible factor in the incidence of diabetes and atherosclerosis. Autopsy data from 31 areas of the world suggested that many Americans, but few non-Americans, were deficient in Cr. One characteristic feature of Cr levels in human tissues is a decline with increasing age (Onkelinx 1977).
Table 1. Chromium concentration in nonbiological materials collected worldwide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample (units in parentheses)</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Terrestrial (mg/kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth's crust</td>
<td>100–300</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;mean, 125&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>5–300</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;trace to 250&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite and limestones</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serpentine materials</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsh sediments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving fertilizers containing sewage sludge, for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 years (total dose of 10,300 mg Cr/m²)</td>
<td>2,150–4,750</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control areas</td>
<td>50–54</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aquatic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended particulates (mg/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic coastal streams</td>
<td>≤460</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>37–2,000</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil, electroplating plant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from discharge site (meters)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,210–61,070</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>15,260</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>18,620</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sediments (mg/kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine, receiving tannery wastes</td>
<td>≤25,000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>90–140</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>1–49</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhine River, Germany</td>
<td>max. 1,240</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil, electroplating plan, distance from discharge site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,420–54,300</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>24,820</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>30–52</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island, near electroplating plant</td>
<td>60–80</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine, near tanneries</td>
<td>80–3,000</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water (µg/L)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers and lakes</td>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streams</td>
<td>0–112, mean 9.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking water</td>
<td>Usually &lt;8; rarely &gt;50</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated industrial effluents</td>
<td>≤5,000,000</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicinity Brazilian electroplating plant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste stream</td>
<td>1,290,000</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At discharge</td>
<td>≤80,000</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 m downstream</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 m downstream</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seawater</td>
<td>&lt;1–5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seawater</td>
<td>0–0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Air (µg/m³)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Concentration (µg/m³)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Background level</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational exposure, chromate plants</td>
<td>≤1,000</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural areas</td>
<td>≤0.01</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Table 2. Concentrations of total Cr and Cr+6 in air, water, soil, and sludge near industrial sites and sewage outfalls in the United States (modified from Snyder et al. 1977).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Air (µg/m³)</th>
<th>Water (mg/L)</th>
<th>Soil (mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Filtered (Total)</td>
<td>Particulates (Total)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium pigment producer</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium plating facility</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanning operation</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewage treatment plant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving tannery wastes</td>
<td>&lt;0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not receiving tannery wastes</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Chromium concentration in field collections of selected species of marine, freshwater, and terrestrial flora and fauna. Values shown are in mg Cr/kg (ppm) whole organism or designated body part fresh weight (FW), dry weight (DW), or ash weight (AW); ND = nondetectable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecosystem, taxonomic group</th>
<th>Concentration (ppm)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MARINE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae and macrophytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae, whole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea of Japan, 12 spp.</td>
<td>1.0-14.0 DW</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom, 11 sp.</td>
<td>2.8-30.0 DW</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae and macrophytes, whole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico, 18 spp.</td>
<td>0.4-110.0 DW</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knotted wrack, Ascophyllum nodosum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>DW, FW or %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>4.0 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>1.1-10.0 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder wrack, Fucus vesiculosus</td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>2.6-4.5 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2.6-4.5 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytoplankton, whole</td>
<td>Narragansett Bay, RI</td>
<td>4.3-73.3 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seaweeds, whole</td>
<td>Japan, 44 spp.</td>
<td>0.1-2.5 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Korea, 20 spp.</td>
<td>0.7-7.4 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsh grasses, Spartina spp., whole</td>
<td>Control areas</td>
<td>2.3-3.1 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>From areas treated with sewage-amended sludge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>at 10,300 mg Cr/m² over 7-year period</td>
<td>31.0-44.0 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coelenterates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corals, 34 spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep open ocean</td>
<td>0.8-3.0 DW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow open ocean</td>
<td>2.0-35.0 DW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow coastal zone</td>
<td>0.2-23.0 DW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molluscs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red abalone, Haliotis rufescens</td>
<td>Gill</td>
<td>0.6-4.0 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mantle</td>
<td>0.0-12.6 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digestive gland</td>
<td>2.0-13.2 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foot</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardshell clam, Mercenaria mercenaria</td>
<td>Soft parts</td>
<td>3.3-24.7 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soft parts</td>
<td>0.2-5.8 FW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soft parts</td>
<td>0.8 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>0.4 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periwinkle, Littorina littorea</td>
<td>Soft parts, United Kingdom</td>
<td>&lt;0.1-1.6 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common mussel, Mytilus edulis</td>
<td>Soft parts</td>
<td>0.9-2.7 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soft parts</td>
<td>0.4-21.0 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>0.1 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>1.0-2.0 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digestive gland</td>
<td>7.4 FW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hepatopancreas</td>
<td>3.5-15.0 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gonad</td>
<td>3.0 FW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>11.0 FW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clam, Pitar morruhana</td>
<td>Soft parts</td>
<td>14.2 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Squid, unidentified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Various tissues</td>
<td>3.1-5.4 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustaceans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edible tissues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 spp.</td>
<td>0.1-0.2 FW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 spp.</td>
<td>0.2-0.3 FW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crab, Cancer irroratus</td>
<td>Flesh</td>
<td>&lt;0.3-0.6 FW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digestive gland</td>
<td>&lt;0.5-1.2 FW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gill</td>
<td>0.8-2.5 FW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annelids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychaete annelids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>8.1-14.7 DW</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>23.8-38.0 DW</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annelid worm, <em>Nereis diversicolor</em></td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>0.6 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinoderms</td>
<td>U.K., whole, 5 spp.</td>
<td>DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico, whole, 2 spp.</td>
<td>24.2-43.2 FW</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece, whole, 7 spp.</td>
<td>0.5-13.0 FW</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea cucumber, <em>Holothuria forskali</em></td>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>0.3 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunicates</td>
<td>Tunicates, whole</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece, 2 spp.</td>
<td>5.5-6.6 DW; 0.2-1.1 FW</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunicate, <em>Podoclavella moluccensis</em></td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>0.4 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma, whole</td>
<td></td>
<td>940.0 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma fractions 9-12</td>
<td>22.0 DW</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell residues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elasmobranchs</td>
<td>Smooth dogfish, <em>Mustelus canis</em></td>
<td>New York Bight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>&lt;0.3 FW</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>&lt;0.8 FW</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>Gills, 7 spp.</td>
<td>&lt;0.1-0.6 FW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonad, 7 spp.</td>
<td>&lt;0.1-0.3 FW</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart, 7 spp.</td>
<td>&lt;0.1-0.8 FW</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney, 7 spp.</td>
<td>&lt;0.1-0.3 FW</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver, 86 spp.</td>
<td>&lt;0.1-0.4 FW</td>
<td>25,33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver, 4 spp.</td>
<td>0.4-2.0 FW</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle, 196 spp.</td>
<td>&lt;0.1-1.9 FW</td>
<td>25,33,34,35,36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle, 31 spp.</td>
<td>0.2-7.3 DW</td>
<td>24,35,37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otoliths, 8 spp.</td>
<td>2.5-6.9 DW</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scales, 6 spp.</td>
<td>0.6-97.0 DW</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin, 8 spp.</td>
<td>3.1-8.1 DW</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen, 7 spp.</td>
<td>&lt;0.1-4.8 FW</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertebræ, 8 spp.</td>
<td>&lt;0.1-1.2 FW</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscera</td>
<td>&lt;0.1-4.5 DW</td>
<td>24,27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole, 17 spp.</td>
<td>&lt;0.1-0.8 FW</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammals</td>
<td>Harbor seal, <em>Phoca groenlandica</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>0.2-0.6 FW</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>0.7-1.2 FW</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>0.8-1.4 FW</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>1.0-2.8 FW</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blubber</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 FW</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FRESHWATER**

<p>| Molluscs | | |
| Snails, 8-9 km below electroplating plant discharge | Soft parts | 450.0 DW | 40 |
| Amphibians | | |
| Anurans, Laurel, Maryland | | |
| Tadpoles | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whole, 2 spp.</th>
<th>1.6-3.8 FW</th>
<th>41</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole, 3 spp.</td>
<td>1.8-5.4 FW</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frog, <em>Rana pipiens</em></td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>0.5 FW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fish**

Alewive, *Alosa pseudoharengus*  
Whole | 1.1 FW | 42 |

Pumpkinseed, *Lepomis gibbosus*  
Whole, Laurel, Maryland | 5.7 FW | 41 |

Lake trout, *Salvelinus namaycush*  
Lake Cayuga, New York  
Whole  
Ages 1-10 years | <0.013 FW | 43 |
Age 11 | 0.032 FW | 43 |
Age 12 | 0.09 FW | 43 |

Eastern mudminnow, *Umbra pygmaea*  
Whole, Laurel, Maryland | 0.9 FW | 41 |

Fish  
Muscle, 12 spp. | 0.03-1.1 FW | 44 |

**TERRESTRIAL**

**Plants**

Big sagebrush, *Artemisia tridentata*  
Whole, Idaho  
Distance from phosphate plant  
Downwind 3 km | 270.0-400.0 DW | 45 |
Upwind 3 km | 77.0-117.0 DW | 45 |

Fescue, *Festuca arundinacea*  
Distance downwind from drift of cooling towers  
15 meters | 342.0 DW | 46 |
130 meters | 15.0 DW | 46 |
Control areas | 0.6 DW | 46 |

Tobacco, *Nicotiana tabacum*  
Kentucky  
Burley leaf | 2.5 DW | 47 |
Cigarette leaf | 0.3-6.5 DW | 47 |
Pipe leaf | 2.8 DW | 47 |
Cigar leaf | 3.1-6.2 DW | 47 |

Rye, *Secale cerealis*  
United States  
Seed | 0.05 FW | 48 |
Whole | 0.04 FW | 48 |
Ontario, Canada, on sludge-amended soil  
Whole | 2.2-3.3 DW | 49 |

Corn, *Zea mays*  
Seed | 0.25 DW | 44 |
Kernel | 0.02 FW | 44 |
Oil | 0.47 FW | 44 |
Meal | 0.06-0.13 DW | 44 |
Grain | 0.1 DW; 3.4 AW | 44 |

**Insects**

Termites, Rhodesia, 2 spp.  
Worker | 1,500.0 DW | 44 |
Soldier | 300.0 DW | 44 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Cr Concentration (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annelids Earthworms</td>
<td>Whole, 2 spp.</td>
<td>5.0-10.2 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthworm, <em>Eisenia foetida</em></td>
<td>From sewage treatment plant sludge containing 299-650 ppm Cr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole less gut</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 weeks residence</td>
<td>1.0 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28 weeks residence</td>
<td>13.0 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grain fed worms</td>
<td>0.8 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feeding on cattle manures</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birds American black duck, <em>Anas rubripes</em></td>
<td>Egg</td>
<td>0.6 FW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canvasback, <em>Aythya valisineria</em></td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>0.02 FW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesser black-backed gull, <em>Larus fuscus</em></td>
<td>Muscle, liver, kidney, and egg</td>
<td>&lt;1.0 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osprey, <em>Pandion haliaetus</em></td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>0.2 FW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown pelican, <em>Pelecanus occidentalis</em></td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>&lt;0.2 FW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common eider, <em>Somateria mollissima</em></td>
<td>Muscle, liver, kidney, and egg</td>
<td>&lt;1.0 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterfowl</td>
<td>Feathers, 4 spp.</td>
<td>&lt;0.05 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammals Pronghorn, <em>Antilocapra americana</em></td>
<td>Hair</td>
<td>1.9-640.0 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coyote, <em>Canis latrans</em></td>
<td>Hair</td>
<td>0.7-12.0 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elk, <em>Cervus canadensis</em></td>
<td>Hair</td>
<td>1.9-570.0 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bighorn sheep, <em>Ovis canadensis</em></td>
<td>Hair</td>
<td>&lt;0.1 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton rat, <em>Sigmodon hispidus</em></td>
<td>Controls Bone</td>
<td>0.2 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Controls Pelt</td>
<td>0.1 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Controls Hair</td>
<td>0.4 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Controls GI tract</td>
<td>1.1 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>0.06 FW; 0.19 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Collected 100-130 m from cooling tower drift Bone</td>
<td>0.5 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Collected 100-130 m from cooling tower drift Pelt</td>
<td>1.1 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Collected 100-130 m from cooling tower drift Hair</td>
<td>4.4 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Collected 100-130 m from cooling tower drift GI tract</td>
<td>1.0 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>0.12 FW; 0.40 DW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western jumping mouse, <em>Zapus princeps</em></td>
<td>Hair</td>
<td>23.0-45.0 DW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*References: 1, Gryzhankova et al. 1973; 2, Riley and Roth 1971; 3, Bernhard and Zattera 1975; 4, Lande*
Chromium is beneficial but not essential to growth in higher plants. Residues in plants seldom exceed a few parts per million, except in plants living on infertile serpentine soils containing high Cr concentrations, or grown on soils amended with sewage sludge. Plants with elevated Cr residues show no toxic effects, although concentrations in excess of 1 ppm in the aqueous medium may inhibit germination of the seed and growth of roots and shoots (Towill et al. 1978).

Chromium has proved effective in counteracting the deleterious effects of cadmium in rats and of vanadium in chickens. High mortality rates and testicular atrophy occurred in rats subjected to an intraperitoneal injection of cadmium salts; however, pretreatment with Cr ameliorated these effects (Stacey et al. 1983). In chickens, 10 ppm of dietary Cr counteracted adverse effects on albumin metabolism and egg shell quality induced by 10 ppm of vanadium salts (Jensen and Maurice 1980).

Additional research on the beneficial aspects of Cr in living resources appears warranted, especially where the organism is subjected to complex mixtures containing Cr and other potentially toxic heavy metals.

TOXICITY

GENERAL

Biocidal properties of chromium salts to aquatic organisms are modified, sometimes by an order of magnitude or more, by a variety of biological and abiotic factors. These include the species, age, and developmental stage of the organism; the temperature, pH, salinity, and alkalinity of the medium; interaction effects of Cr with other contaminants; duration of exposure; and chemical form of Cr tested. For hexavalent chromium, LC-50 (96 h) values for sensitive freshwater and marine species were between 445 and 2,000 ppb. For trivalent chromium, LC-50 (96 h) concentrations were 2,000 to 3,200 ppb for sensitive freshwater organisms and 3,300 to 7,500 ppb for marine biota.

Among warm-blooded organisms, hexavalent chromium was fatal to dogs in 3 months at 100 ppm in their food and killed most mammalian experimental animals at injected doses of 1 to 5 mg Cr/kg body weight, but had no measurable effect on chickens at dietary levels of 100 ppm over a 32-day period. Trivalent chromium compounds were generally less toxic than hexavalent chromium compounds, but significant differences may occur in uptake of anionic and cationic Cr+3 species, and this difference may affect survival.

AQUATIC ORGANISMS

Records of acute toxicities of hexavalent and trivalent chromium salts to representative species of aquatic life (Table 4) make it clear that Cr+6 is the more toxic to freshwater biota in comparatively soft and acidic waters, that younger life stages are more sensitive than older organisms, and that 96 h is insufficient to attain stable mortality patterns. There are at least five ionic species of hexavalent Cr, of which two—the hydrochromate ion and the chromate ion—are the predominant species and probably the agents that are toxic to freshwater life (Van der Putte et al. 1981b). However, water pH dramatically affects the concentration of each: as pH decreased from 7.8 to 6.5 the hydrochromate ion increased by a factor of about 3, and the chromate ion decreased by a factor of about 6.8 (Van der Putte et al. 1981b). More research is needed to fully elucidate chromium's mode of action. The organisms most sensitive to Cr+6, as judged by 96-h LC-50 values, were freshwater crustaceans and rotifers, and marine crustaceans, for which LC-50 values were 445 to 3,100 ppb;
longer exposures of 28 to 84 days produced LC-50 values of 200 to 500 ppb (Table 4). Other investigators had confirmed that Cr+6 is more toxic to freshwater daphnids and teleosts in water of comparatively low alkalinity, low pH, and low total hardness (Muller 1980). In marine teleosts, the toxicity of Cr+6 increased at elevated temperatures; furthermore, Cr was additive in toxicity when present as a component in a complex mixture of cadmium, zinc, and Cr+6 salts, (Negelski 1976).

For trivalent chromium and freshwater biota, toxicity was significantly increased in comparatively soft waters; this pattern was especially pronounced for daphnids (Table 4). Among freshwater teleosts, survival was reduced at comparatively low pH (EPA 1980). Also, organisms exposed previously to Cr+3 salts were not unusually sensitive or resistant when subjected to additional Cr+3—suggesting that they were unable to acclimatize or to become sensitized to Cr+3 (Stevens and Chapman 1984). As judged by 96-h LC-50 values, Cr+3 was toxic to sensitive freshwater organisms at concentrations of 2,000-3,000 ppb, or slightly less toxic than Cr+6 (Table 4). Toxicity of Cr+3, like that of Cr+6 increased with increasing exposure in rainbow trout. However, Cr+3 was significantly less toxic than Cr+6 in freshwater to salmon fingerlings, and was dramatically less toxic than Cr+6 to polychaetes and crustaceans (but not to molluscs or teleosts) in saltwater (Table 4).

Table 4. Acute toxicities of hexavalent and trivalent chromium to aquatic life.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical species, ecosystem, taxonomic group, organism, modifiers, and other information</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/L)</th>
<th>Percent dead</th>
<th>Duration of test</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hexavalent Chromium</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plants</td>
<td>2,500-25,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotifers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Philodena acuticornis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water hardness, in mg CaCO₃/L</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molluscs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snail, <em>Physa heterostropha</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water hardness, in mg CaCO₃/L</td>
<td>17,300</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>31,600-40,600</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustaceans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipod, <em>Gammarus pseudolimnaeus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshwater prawn, <em>Machrobrachium lamarei</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,840</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladoceran, <em>Daphnia magna</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>435</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mud skipper, <em>Bolephalhum dussumieri</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight 0.2 g</td>
<td>30,500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainbow trout, <em>Salmo gairdneri</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight 0.2 g</td>
<td>12,200</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water pH 7.8</td>
<td>7,600</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water pH 6.5</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight 25 g</td>
<td>65,500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water pH 7.8</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water pH 6.5</th>
<th>20,200</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>96 h</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 spp.</td>
<td>17,600-118,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softwater</td>
<td>&lt;18,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardwater</td>
<td>&gt;133,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon fingerlings,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Oncorhynchus sp.</em></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>12 w</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldfish, <em>Carassius auratus</em></td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water hardness, in mg CaCO$_3$/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>37,500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>&gt;90,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluegill, <em>Lepomis macrochirus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water hardness, in mg CaCO$_3$/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>118,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>113,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>110,000-170,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>213,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>130,000-135,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>133,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striped bass, <em>Morone saxatilis</em></td>
<td>30,400</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molluscs</td>
<td>14,000-105,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annelids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychaetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Neanthes arenaceodentata</em></td>
<td>550</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>28 d</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Neanthes arenaceodentata</em></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>56 d</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nereis virens</em></td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>21 d</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Capitella capitata</em></td>
<td>280</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>28 d</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Capitella capitata</em></td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 spp.</td>
<td>2,000-7,500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinoderms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starfish, <em>Asterias forbesi</em></td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustaceans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 spp.</td>
<td>2,000-98,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepod, <em>Tisbe holothuriae</em></td>
<td>8,100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48 h</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepod, <em>Acartia clausi</em></td>
<td>8,830-19,270</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48 h</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue crab, <em>Callinectes sapidus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early life stages</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early life stages</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40 d</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small-mouthed hardy head, <em>Atherinasoma</em></td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>microstoma</em></td>
<td>19,300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>168 h</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow-eye mullet, <em>Aldrichetta forsteri</em></td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic silverside, <em>Menidia menidia</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larva</td>
<td>12,400-14,300</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>20,100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mummichog, <em>Fundulus heteroclitus</em></td>
<td>91,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speckled sanddab, <em>Citharichthys stigmaeus</em></td>
<td>30,000-31,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trivalent chromium</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molluscs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snail, <em>Amnicola</em> sp.</td>
<td>8,400</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annelids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worm, <em>Nais</em> sp.</td>
<td>9,300</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthropods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladoceran, <em>Daphnia magna</em></td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water hardness, in mg CaCO₃/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>16,800</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>27,400</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>26,300</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>51,400</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>58,700</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphiod, <em>Gammarus</em> sp.</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insects, 4 spp.</td>
<td>2,000-64,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 spp.</td>
<td>3,300-71,900</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft water</td>
<td>&lt; 3,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard water</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon fingerlings</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12 w</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainbow trout</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juveniles</td>
<td>4,400</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30 d</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fathead minnow</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water hardness, mg CaCO₃/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>5,070</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>7,000-29,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>67,400</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molluscs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American oyster, <em>Crassostrea virginica</em></td>
<td>10,300</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annelids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychaete, <em>Neanthes arenaceodentata</em></td>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21 d</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustaceans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crab, <em>Sesarma haematocheir</em>, zoea</td>
<td>56,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepod, <em>Acartia clausi</em></td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48 h</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow-eye mullet</td>
<td>53,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 spp.</td>
<td>3,300-7,500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Abbreviations: h = hour; d = day; w = week.*

Maximum acceptable toxicant concentrations (MATC) of chromium to aquatic life were derived from life cycle or partial life cycle exposures, and expressed as the highest concentration tested having no significant adverse effect on the characteristics measured—usually survival, growth, and reproduction—and the lowest concentration at which these effects were observed. For Cr and freshwater teleosts, MATC values ranged from as low as 51 to 105 ppb in rainbow trout to as high as 1,000 to 31,950 ppb in fathead minnows (Table 5). The most sensitive saltwater organism tested was a polychaete worm with a MATC range of 17 to 38 ppb (Table 5). For Cr+3 the MATC range for freshwater organisms was 47 to 1,400 ppb, which was quite similar to that for Cr+6 for freshwater life. No MATC data were available for Cr+3 and marine biota.

**TERRESTRIAL INVERTEBRATES**

Data on toxicity of Cr to terrestrial invertebrates are sparse. Studies conducted in India showed that a concentration of 10 to 15 ppm of Cr+6 in irrigation water, when applied to soils for agricultural purposes, was lethal to two species of earthworms in 58 to 60 days (Soni and Abbasi 1981; Abbasi and Soni 1983).

**Table 5.** Maximum acceptable toxicant concentration (MATC) values for hexavalent and trivalent chromium to aquatic life based on life cycle or partial life cycle exposures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical species, ecosystem, organism</th>
<th>MATC (µg/L, ppb)</th>
<th>Referencea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hexavalent chromium</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainbow trout, <em>Salmo gairdneri</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water hardness, mg CaCO₃/L</td>
<td>34 51-105</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45 200-350</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brook trout, <em>Salvelinus fontinalis</em></td>
<td>200-350</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fathead minnow, <em>Pimephales promelas</em></td>
<td>1,000-3,950</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake trout, <em>Salvelinus namaycush</em></td>
<td>105-194</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel catfish, <em>Ictalurus punctatus</em></td>
<td>150-305</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluegill, <em>Lepomis macrochirus</em></td>
<td>522-1,122</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White sucker, <em>Catostomus commersoni</em></td>
<td>290-538</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern pike, <em>Esox lucius</em></td>
<td>538-963</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walleye, <em>Stizostedion vitreum</em></td>
<td>&gt;2,161</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saltwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychaete worm, <em>Neanthes arenaceodentata</em></td>
<td>17-38</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mysid shrimp, <em>Mysisopsis bahia</em></td>
<td>88-198</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trivalent chromium</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladoceran, <em>Daphnia magna</em></td>
<td>47-93</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fathead minnow</td>
<td>750-1,400</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainbow trout</td>
<td>30-157</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**MAMMALS AND BIRDS**

Acute and chronic adverse effects of chromium to warm-blooded organisms are caused mainly by Cr+6 compounds; there is little conclusive evidence of toxic effects caused by Cr+2 or Cr+3 compounds (Langard and Norseth 1979). Most investigators agree that chromium in biological materials is probably always in the trivalent state, that greatest exposures of Cr+3 in the general human population are through the diet (but no adverse effects have been reported from such exposures), and that no organic trivalent chromium complexes of toxicological importance have been described. Studies with guinea pigs fed Cr+3 for 21 weeks at concentrations up to 50 ppm dietary Cr+3 showed no adverse effects (Preston et al. 1976). Domestic cats were apparently...
unaffected after exposure to aerosol levels of 80 to 115 mg Cr+3/m³ for 1 h daily for 4 months, or after consuming diets with high amounts of chromic (Cr+3) salts over a similar period (Langard and Norseth 1979). When chromium was administered by injection, trivalent salts were substantially less toxic than hexavalent salts in producing effects in embryos of golden hamsters (Gale 1978). A similar pattern was evident in mice and in embryos of chickens. The LD-50's for mice were 260 mg/kg body weight for Cr+3, but only 5 mg/kg body weight for Cr+6 (Steven et al. 1976). For chicken embryos, the LD-50 values (mg/kg body weight) were 22.9 for Cr+3 and 1.7 for Cr+6 (Ridgeway and Karnofsky 1952). However, survival was depressed in young American black ducks fed 10 or 50 ppm dietary Cr+3 for 10 weeks—suggesting that black duck broods along the Atlantic coast (an area of high anthropogenic chromium discharge) may be adversely affected if they remain in contaminated areas for extended periods (Haseltine et al. 1985). In the black duck study, the authors administered chromium in the form of CrK(SO₄)₂ 12 H₂O; absorption of this anionic trivalent chromium compound (and an anionic hexavalent Cr compound) through black duck intestine was superior to that of cationic forms (Eastin et al. 1980).

Steven et al. (1976), in studies with Cr+6 and dogs, showed that 100 ppm in food for 3 months was fatal, that 11.2 ppm in drinking water was not lethal over a 4-year period (although significant accumulation was observed), and that 6.0 ppm in drinking water for 4 years had no measurable effects. In rats, 1,000 ppm dietary Cr+6 represented the toxic threshold, but all animals survived 134 ppm Cr+6 in drinking water for 3 months (Steven et al. 1976). For most mammalian experimental animals, including mice, dogs, rabbits, cats, and guinea pigs, the minimum injected fatal dose of Cr+6 ranged from 1 to 5 mg/kg body weight—although doses of 0.2 to 0.5 mg/kg body weight produced marked kidney damage (Steven et al. 1976). Repeated sublethal injections of Cr+6 did not promote tolerance in mice, but rather decreased the minimum lethal dose, suggesting that the animals were unable to develop tolerance to repeated chromium exposures (Steven et al. 1976). Investigators have not yet been able to identify a specific hexavalent Cr compound, or group of compounds, that could account for the most pronounced biological activity (Langard and Norseth 1979). A lethal oral dose of Cr+6 for a 14-year-old boy was estimated to be 10 mg/kg body weight—much lower than that tolerated by test animals on a repeated basis over a period of several months (Steven et al. 1976). Domestic chickens appear to be more resistant than mammals. No adverse effects were observed in chickens exposed to 100 ppm dietary Cr+6 in a 32-day study (Bosomer et al. 1961), although embryolethal and teratogenic effects have been observed in the range of 0.2 mg/kg (Gilani and Marano 1979) to 1.7-22.9 mg/kg (Ridgeway and Karnofsky 1952), depending on the method of administration.

**SUBLETHAL EFFECTS**

**GENERAL**

Under laboratory conditions, chromium is mutagenic, carcinogenic, and teratogenic to a wide variety of organisms, and Cr+6 has the greatest biological activity. However, information is lacking on the biological activities of water soluble Cr+3 compounds, organochromium compounds, and their ionic states. Aquatic plants and marine polychaete worms appear to be the most sensitive groups tested. In exposures to Cr+6, growth of algae was inhibited at 10.0 ppb, and reproduction of worms at 12.5 ppb. At higher concentrations, Cr+6 is associated with abnormal enzyme activities, altered blood chemistry, lowered resistance to pathogenic organisms, behavioral modifications, disrupted feeding, histopathology, osmoregulatory upset, alterations in population structure and species diversity indices, and inhibition of photosynthesis. Not all sublethal effects observed were permanent, but the potential for acclimatization of organisms to Cr is not well documented. The great variability among species and tissues in the accumulation or concentration of Cr is attributed partly to the route of administration, partly to the concentration of Cr and its chemical species, and partly to numerous biotic and physicochemical modifiers. High accumulations of Cr have been recorded among organisms from the lower trophic levels, but there is little evidence of biomagnification through food chains. Marine bivalve molluscs, for example, accumulated measurable concentrations at ambient water concentrations of 5.0 ppb of Cr+6, but the significance of Cr residues in molluscs and other organisms is not well understood. Depuration of accumulated Cr among organisms differs markedly, but usually follows a complex multicompartmental excretion pattern.

**AQUATIC ORGANISMS: FRESHWATER**

**BACTERIA**

The role of sewage bacteria in Cr kinetics and cycling is unresolved and promises to be a fruitful field of research. Of 362 bacterial isolates from Cr+6 liquid sanitary sewage and chemical waste sludges, only 1—an
isolate of Arthrobacter sp.—could tolerate 400 ppm of Cr+6 (Coleman and Paran 1983); however, this isolate could not effectively accumulate Cr at low ambient levels of 5 ppm of Cr+6, whereas Agrobacter sp., another isolate, could. Hexavalent Cr in a wide array of forms showed dose dependent responses for mutagenic activity in the bacterium Salmonella typhimurium (Del Carratore et al. 1984); moreover, among 56 metal compounds tested, Cr+6 elicited the strongest mutagenic responses in Bacillus subtilis (Hatherill 1981). In some tests, Cr+3 was genetically active, but only when present as a stable organic complex (Del Carratore et al. 1984).

ALGAE AND MACROPHYTES

Growth of freshwater algae was reduced at Cr+6 concentrations of 10 ppb for Chlamydomonas reinhardi and >45 ppb for other species tested; effects were most pronounced in water of low alkalinity (EPA 1980). Frond growth of the common duckweed, Lemna minor, the most sensitive aquatic plant tested, was reduced at 10 ppb Cr+6 in days (Mangi et al. 1978). Jouany et al. (1982) reported that a green alga, Chlorella vulgaris, biomagnified Cr+6 from the medium about 1000X in 28 days at ambient concentrations of 300 ppb; growth was inhibited at 445 ppb Cr+6 in 96 hours, and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) production was reduced at 470 ppb in 24 hours. At 10 ppb Cr+6 in the medium, bioconcentration factors for the chlorophytes Hydrodictyon reticulatum and Oedogonium sp. ranged from 200 to 600X in 14 days (Mangi et al. 1978). Accumulation of Cr by living and dead plant tissue is extensive, uptake linearly approximating concentration on a logarithmic basis (Mangi et al. 1978).

Trivalent chromium is far less effective than Cr+6 in producing root weight inhibition in Eurasian watermilfoil, Myriophyllum spicatum: 9,900 ppb Cr+3 vs. 1,900 ppb Cr+6 (EPA 1980).

INVERTEBRATES

Hexavalent chromium was associated with adverse effects in invertebrates of widely separated taxa: reduced survival and fecundity of the cladoceran Daphnia magna at a concentration of 10 ppb and exposure for 32 days (EPA 1980); growth inhibition of the protozoan Chilomonas paramecium at 1,100-3,000 ppb at temperatures of 10-30 °C during exposures of 19-163 h (Honig et al. 1980); abnormal movement patterns of larvae of the midge Chironomus tentans at 100 ppb in 48 h (Catalan 1982); and a temporary decrease in hemolymph glucose levels in the freshwater prawn Macrobrachium lamarrei surviving 1,840 ppb Cr+6 for 96 h (Murti et al. 1983).

Trivalent Cr was less effective than Cr+6 in reducing fecundity of Daphnia magna: 44 ppb Cr+3 vs. 10 ppb Cr+6 (EPA 1980). Annelid worms (Tubifex sp.) accumulated about 1 ppm Cr during exposure for 2 weeks in sediments containing 175 ppm Cr+3, suggesting that benthic invertebrates have only a limited ability to accumulate chromium from sediments or clays (Neff et al. 1978).

FISH

Among sensitive species of freshwater teleosts, Cr+6 concentrations of 16 to 21 ppb in the medium resulted in reduced growth of rainbow trout and chinook salmon fingerlings during exposure of 14 to 16 weeks, and altered plasma cortisol metabolism in rainbow trout after 7 days; locomotor activity in bluegills increased after 2 weeks in 50 ppb Cr+6 (EPA 1980). Long-term exposure of rainbow trout by Calamari et al. (1982) for 180 days to high, but environmentally realistic, concentrations of 0.2 ppm Cr+6 resulted in elevated levels of Cr in kidney (3.5 mg/kg fresh weight), liver (2.0), and muscle (0.6); after 90 days in Cr-free media, Cr levels were 1.6, 1.3, and 0.5, respectively. Time required to reach median asymptotic uptake ranged from 36 to 55 days for various tissues; extrapolated values for almost complete equilibrium were 237 to 365 days (Calamari et al. 1982). The rudd (Scardinus erythrophthalmus), exposed to Cr+6 for 24 hours, did not accumulate detectable levels of Cr in tissues during exposure to 16 ppm, but did during exposures to 20 ppm; the kidney contained the highest residues—10.3 mg Cr/kg fresh weight (Van Hoof and Van San 1981).

At high environmental concentrations of Cr+6 (i.e., 2.0 ppm in water) and at alkaline pH, concentrations in rainbow trout tissues were greatest in gill, liver, kidney, and digestive tract; after transfer of the fish to Cr-free media, residues tended to remain high in kidney and liver; concentration in gill tissues tended to be greater at pH 7.8 than at pH 6.5 (Van der Putte et al. 1981a). Studies with perfused gills showed that the transfer of Cr was directly coupled with the transfer of oxygen from the external solution to the internal perfusion medium and that this transfer was significantly more rapid at pH 6.5 than at alkaline pH (Van der Putte and Part 1982). Uptake rate of Cr+6 was rapid, equilibrium usually being reached in 2 to 4 days of exposure for various tissues,
except for gill, which continued to accumulate Cr with increasing exposure at acidic pH. In rainbow trout, the excretion pattern was biphasic. The biological half-life of the short-lived component (34% of the total Cr) was 1.0 day, and that of the long-lived component was 25.6 days (Van der Putte et al. 1981a). Other sublethal effects were observed in freshwater teleosts following Cr+6 insult. In the snakehead (Channa punctatus), enzyme activities were altered in a wide variety of organs and tissues after exposure for 30 days to 2.6 ppm (Sastry and Sunita 1984); the effects became life threatening after exposure for 120 days (Sastry and Tyagi 1982; Sastry and Sunita 1982, 1983). In the mudskipper (Boleophthalmus dussumieri), chromosomal aberrations in the gill increased after injection of 1.0 mg/kg body weight, or exposure to 24 ppm in the medium for 24 h (Krishnaja and Rege 1982). In juvenile coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch), disease resistance and serum agglutinin production both decreased after 2 weeks in water containing 0.5 ppm (Sugatt 1980b). In seaward migrating coho salmon, salinity tolerance and serum osmolality were impaired during exposure to 0.23 ppm Cr+6 for 4 weeks (Sugatt 1980a).

Chromium uptakes and effects in teleosts were modified significantly by many biological and abiotic variables, including water temperature and pH, the presence of other contaminants or compounds, and sex and tissue specificity. In rainbow trout, only males showed significant changes in liver enzyme activity during exposure to 0.2 ppm Cr+6 for 6 months; the effects were intensified by the presence of nickel and cadmium salts in solution (Arillo et al. 1982). Rainbow trout are able to regulate Cr somewhat, either actively, by reduced absorption or increased excretion, or passively, by the limitation of binding sites for Cr in vivo (Buhler et al. 1977). Tests with goldfish and high Cr+6 concentrations indicated that toxic and sublethal effects were more pronounced at comparatively high water temperatures and reduced pH; further, Cr residue levels were abnormally high in dead or moribund fish, suggesting that residue values from dead or dying fish should be interpreted with extreme caution (Riva et al. 1981). In rainbow trout, acute Cr poisoning caused morphological changes in gills, kidney, and stomach tissues at pH 7.8, but only in the gills at pH 6.5 (Van der Putte et al. 1981b). Chromium uptake in trout increased when 10 ppb of ionic cadmium was present in solution (Calamari et al. 1982)—again demonstrating that uptake patterns are not necessarily predictable for single components in complex mixtures.

AQUATIC ORGANISMS: MARINE
ALGAE AND MACROPHYTES

Algae and higher plants accumulated chromium from seawater by factors up to 8,600 (Van As et al. 1973), and from solutions containing 50 ppm Cr by a factor of 18 in 48 h (Sivalingam 1978). Algae also accumulated Cr from sewage sludge, showing increases in Cr of 25 to 60 mg/kg dry weight (Montgomery et al. 1978). The unusually high Cr concentrations observed in some species of algae and macrophytes from Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island (Phelps et al. 1975) and from Puerto Rico (Bernhard and Zattera 1975) almost certainly came from chromium wastes discharged from electroplaters (in Narragansett Bay) and from other anthropogenic sources (in Puerto Rico). A similar situation probably exists wherever grossly elevated Cr levels are observed.

Although chromium is abundant in primary producers, there is little evidence of biomagnification through marine food chains consisting of herbivores and carnivores (Osterberg et al. 1964). Baptist and Lewis (1969) followed the transfer of assimilated and unassimilated radiochromium through an experimental food chain that included phytoplankton, brine shrimp, postlarval fish, and adult fish. When chromium was successively transferred through each of the four trophic levels, concentrations declined after each transfer. Comparisons of the results from the food chain with laboratory studies on chromium uptake from seawater suggest that the food chain, despite the successive declines, was generally the more efficient pathway for uptake of chromium by all trophic levels.

Among sensitive species of marine algae, concentrations of 10 ppb of Cr+6 partly inhibited growth of Olisthodiscus lutens. All cultures, including those in which growth was inhibited, contained viable, active (75%) cells at the end of 10 days. Inhibitory effects were reversed by chelators such as EDTA (Mahoney 1982), suggesting that naturally occurring ligands and sequestering agents in seawater may alleviate the toxicity of Cr+6, and perhaps other metals. In the giant kelp (Macrocystis pyrifera), photosynthesis was inhibited 20% in 5 days at 1,000 ppb of Cr+6, and 50% in 4 days at 5,000 ppb (EPA 1980); this kelp appears to be one of the more resistant aquatic plants.
MOLLUSCS

Edible tissues of commercially important North American molluscs contained 0.1 to 0.6 mg Cr/kg fresh weight (Hall et al. 1978). Although this concentration is in general agreement with molluscan data from other geographic areas (Eisler 1981), Shuster and Pringle (1968) reported values (mg Cr/kg fresh weight) in edible portions as high as 3.4 in oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*), 5.8 in hardshell clams (*Mercenaria mercenaria*), and 5.0 in softshell clams (*Mya arenaria*). The ability of marine molluscs to accumulate Cr far in excess of that in ambient seawater was documented by Papadopoulu (1973), who found that the Cr concentration in 5 species of bivalves from Greek waters exceeded that in seawater by 16,000 times (*Pinna nobilis*) to 260,000 times (*Astralium rogosum*). No deleterious health effects have been reported among consumers of molluscs that contained occasional high Cr residues. Anthropogenic and natural chromium gradients in sediments or the water column were reflected in the wide range of values reported for this element in field collections of clams (Phelps et al. 1975; Eisler et al. 1978) and mussels (Alexander and Young 1976; Fowler and Oregioni 1976; Lande 1977; Karbe et al. 1977).

Two factors known to modify Cr accumulations in molluscs are the weight of the organism and the salinity of the medium. Concentrations of Cr in clams were reported to decrease with increasing body weight (Eisler et al. 1978) and increasing salinity (Olson and Harrel 1973). Accumulation of Cr by oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) was independent of sediment Cr levels and dependent on organism size—suggesting some homeostatic regulation of this metal (Ayling 1974). In a 20-week laboratory study of chromium accumulation rates by oysters (Shuster and Pringle (1969) continuously subjected the animals to seawater solutions containing 50 or 100 ppb Cr+6. After 5, 10, or 20 weeks in 50 ppb, maximum whole body concentrations (mg Cr/kg fresh weight) were 2.4, 3.7, and 6.3, respectively (up from control values of <0.12); in 100 ppb, the values were 4.4 (5 weeks), 6.4 (10 weeks), and 11.5 (20 weeks). Preston (1971) concluded that *C. virginica*, under laboratory conditions, accumulated Cr more readily by direct absorption from the medium than from ingestion of radiochromium-labeled algae (*Chlamydomonas* spp.). In natural environments, however, Cr concentration is likely to be greater in the food supply than in the water. As a consequence, food might be the primary source of Cr to oysters, even though accumulation occurs more readily by direct absorption (Preston 1971).

Clams, oysters, and mussels accumulate Cr from the medium or from contaminated sediments at comparatively low concentrations. For example, oysters subjected to 5.0 ppb of Cr+6 for 12 weeks contained 3.1 mg Cr/kg dry weight in soft parts and retained 52% of the accumulated Cr after they were transferred to Cr-free seawater for 28 weeks (Zaroogian and Johnson 1983). Mussels (*Mytilus edulis*) subjected to the same dose-time regimen contained 4.8 mg/kg, but retained only 39% after 28 weeks of depuration. Both oysters and mussels contained higher residues after exposure to 10.0 ppb Cr+6 for 12 weeks—5.6 and 9.4 mg Cr/kg dry weight in soft parts, respectively—and both contained substantial (30-58%) residues after 28 weeks in a Cr-free environment (Zaroogian and Johnson 1983). In studies with mussels and softshell clams (*Mya arenaria*), Capuzzo and Sasner (1977) demonstrated that Cr in New Hampshire sediments (contaminated with Cr+3 from tannery wastes) was bioavailable to clams by diffusion from seawater, and that both diffusion and particulate uptake were important pathways for mussels. Accumulation was observed at sediment Cr concentrations as low as 150 ppm. Kaolinite sediments containing up to 1,200 ppm of Cr+3 produced the most pronounced adverse effects on filtration rates and ciliary activity of bivalve molluscs, leading the authors to conclude that Cr that has accumulated in areas affected by industrial wastes might have serious consequences to filter feeding bivalves.

It is emphasized that Cr+3, probably because of its very low solubility in seawater, appears to have a much lower bioavailability to most groups of marine animals than Cr+6, which is more water soluble (Carr et al. 1982). The clam *Rangia cuneata* appears to be an exception: it accumulated up to 19 mg Cr/kg in soft parts, on a dry weight basis, during exposure for 16 days to chromium-contaminated muds, and retained most of it for an extended period; the estimated biological half-time was 11 days (Carr et al. 1982). In general, benthic invertebrates rarely accumulate Cr from contaminated sediments (82-188 ppm Cr+3); only a few examples have been recorded (Neff et al. 1978).

NEMATODES

Representatives of this phylum have been used extensively as indicators of stressed environments. Population structure and species diversity of free-living nematodes inhabiting sediments in the New York Bight were moderately influenced by the heavy metal content of sands. In medium-grained sands, species diversity was inversely correlated with increased concentrations of Cr and other metals. Sands containing 3.0 to 21.5 mg
Cr/kg were also marked by high relative abundances of one or two nematode species; the tolerance of these species to Cr stress probably exceeded that of the normal nematode inhabitants of such sediments (Tietjen 1980).

CRUSTACEANS

In general, chromium seldom exceeds 0.3 mg/kg fresh weight in edible crustacean tissues (Eisler 1981). The highest value (0.6 mg Cr/kg fresh weight) reported in muscle of rock crab (Cancer irroratus) was from specimens collected near an ocean dump site receiving large quantities of metals. Digestive glands and gills from these crabs also contained the highest Cr residues for these tissues in crustaceans (Greig et al. 1977).

Sather (1967) observed that uptake and loss of radiochromium by the crab Podophthalmus vigil was independent of sex and eyestalk hormone influences. Most of the radiochromium accumulated in gills. Equilibrium was reached in gill and muscle in 2-3 days, but in midgut and hemolymph in 4-5 days. Iron interfered with chromium uptake and retention. Tennant and Forster (1969) demonstrated that Cr concentrated in setae, gills, and hepatopancreas of Dungeness crab (Cancer magister) and suggested that surface adsorption and physiological processes were both instrumental in Cr accumulation. Barnacles (Balanus sp.) incorporated Cr+6 in soft tissues up to 1,000X over ambient concentrations, reaching equilibrium in 7 days (biological half-life for some components was 120 days); however, Cr+3, which precipitates in seawater, was quickly removed by filtering activity, was not concentrated in soft tissues, and was rapidly excreted by way of the digestive system (51Cr (VI) and 51Cr (III) by barnacles (van Weerelt et al. 1984).

Sediment Cr concentrations of 3,200 ppm in the New Bedford (Massachusetts) Acushnet estuary, and 100 ppm in the New York Bight have been recorded (Doughtie et al. 1983). Massive cuticular lesions suggestive of shell disease characterized up to 30% of the lobsters, crabs, and shrimp collected from the New York Bight, and these lesions could also be induced in crustaceans exposed to New York Bight sediments in the laboratory. This shell disease syndrome has been induced in 41% of grass shrimp (Palaemonetes pugio) during exposure to 0.5 ppm Cr+6 for 28 days (Doughtie et al. 1983). It is proposed that Cr interferes with the normal functions of subcuticular epithelium, particularly cuticle formation, and subsequently causes structural weaknesses or perforations to develop in the cuticle of newly molted shrimp. Because of these Cr-induced exoskeletal deficiencies, a viaduct for pathogenic bacteria and direct Cr influx is formed that perpetuates the development of the lesion.

Of the 65,000 tons of Cr compounds used annually in exploratory oil drilling, a significant portion enters the marine environment through the discharge of used drilling muds. It has been estimated that more than 225 tons of drilling mud may be used in a single 3,000-m well (Carr et al. 1982). One of the most frequently used muds in offshore drilling operations is a chrome lignosulphonate mud containing barium sulphonate, bentonite clay, and ferrochrome or chrome lignosulphonates (Carr et al. 1982). The bioavailability of Cr to grass shrimp from used chrome lignosulphonate drilling muds is most pronounced at the mud aqueous layers. At Cr concentrations of 248 ppb in the mud aqueous fraction, grass shrimp accumulated 23.7 mg Cr/kg dry weight whole body after 7 days (Carr et al. 1982). Concentrations of drilling mud of 1% or greater in seawater were toxic to sensitive species of crustaceans (Neff et al. 1981); uptake of 4 to 5 mg/kg was reported in grass shrimp exposed to sediments containing 188 ppm Cr (Neff et al. 1978). The toxicity of Cr-contaminated drilling muds to grass shrimp maybe sometimes be attributable to large residuals of petroleum hydrocarbons in the sediments (Conklin et al. 1983).

ANNELIDS

Uptake and excretion studies of Cr+3 by Hermione hystrix (Chipman 1967) showed that Cr+3 was not readily accumulated from seawater, owing to the formation of particles and surface adsorption phenomena; furthermore, little accumulation was evident on contact with contaminated sediments. Hexavalent chromium in the medium was readily accumulated by Hermione; the process was slow and only small amounts were taken up in 19 days—i.e., 0.03 to 0.10 mg/kg fresh weight from media containing 3 to 10 ppb Cr+6. Higher body burdens of 0.5 to 1.8 mg Cr/kg fresh body weight were reported at 100 to 500 ppb of Cr+6, but some deaths were noted at these concentrations. Chromium accumulation by Hermione is a passive process and directly related to Cr+6 concentration in the medium. At least two rates of biological loss are involved—one of 8 days and another of 123 days. Chipman (1967) concluded that most of the Cr accumulated by Hermione from long exposure is bound in a body component having a slow turnover rate and an estimated biological half-life of...
about 123 days.

Uptake of Cr+6 from seawater has been reported for *Neanthes arenaceodentata*. Whole *Neanthes* contained 30.0 mg Cr/kg dry weight after exposure for 150 days in ppb of Cr+6 (Mearns and Young 1977) and 0.5 to 1.6 mg Cr/kg fresh weight after exposure for 440 days (Oshida et al. 1976); both of these observations were similar to those of Chipman (1967), after adjustment for wet and dry weights. Concentrations as low as 12.5 ppb of Cr+6 decreased brood size in *Neanthes* (Mearns et al. 1976; Oshida et al. 1976), although no significant body residues were evident. Uptake of Cr+6 by *Neanthes* was related to dose at low ambient Cr concentrations. Worms subjected to 2.6, 4.5, 9.8, or 16.6 ppb Cr+6 for 309 days contained 0.5, 0.7, 2.2, and 2.5 mg Cr/kg whole fresh organism, respectively (Oshida and Word 1982). There was no direct relationship between tissue concentration and brood size, suggesting that Cr in *Neanthes* attaches to proteins in the body wall, gut, and parapodial regions (Oshida and Word 1982).

*Neanthes arenaceodentata* is the most sensitive marine organism yet tested. In worms exposed to sublethal concentrations of Cr+6, feeding was disrupted after 14 days at 79 ppb (EPA 1980), reproduction ceased after 440 days (three generations) at 100 ppb (Oshida et al. 1981), brood size was reduced after 309 to 440 days at 12.5 to 16.0 ppb (Oshida et al. 1981; Oshida and Word 1982), and abnormalities in larval development increased after 5 months at 25 ppb (Reish 1977). On the other hand, exposure for 293 days (two generations) in 50,400 ppb Cr+3 caused no adverse effects on survival, maturation time required for spawning, or brood size (Oshida et al. 1981). The polychaete *Capitella capitata* was more resistant than *Neanthes*; a decrease in brood size was noted only after exposure for 5 months to 50 and 100 ppb Cr+6 (EPA 1980).

**ECHINODERMS**

With the exception of two sea urchin samples collected from Puerto Rico, most Cr residues reported in echinoderms have been less than 1.0 mg/kg dry weight (Eisler 1981). The exceptions—elevated levels of 24 and 43 mg/kg fresh weight of whole organism in Puerto Rican sea urchins—were not reflected in sea cucumber muscle from the same vicinity (Fukai 1965), and thus should be viewed with caution. Echinoderms from the United Kingdom and environs were comparatively low in chromium; concentrations were less than 0.46 mg Cr/kg dry weight whole organism (Riley and Segar 1970). Embryos of a sea urchin (*Anthocidaris* sp.) developed normally in solutions containing 3.2 to 4.2 mg Cr/L, but failed to develop at 8.4-10.0 mg Cr/L (Okubo and Okubo 1962; Kobayashi 1971). Larvae of another species of sea urchin (*Hemicentrotus* sp.) were more sensitive, showing abnormal development or dying within 24 h at concentrations of less than 1.0 mg Cr/L (Okubo and Okubo 1962). Hexavalent chromium at 6.0 mg/L was associated with abnormal development in embryos of *Anthocidaris crassispina* (Kobayashi 1977).

**FISH**

Individual tissues of most species of finfishes contained between 0.1 and 0.6 mg Cr/kg fresh weight (Hall et al. 1978). For still unexplained reasons, chromium concentrated in the scales of some species collected in Greek waters, values ranging up to 97.0 mg Cr/kg dry weight (Papadopoulu and Kassimati 1977). Chromium concentrations also vary significantly among different species of fish collected from the same geographic area. For example, muscle Cr concentration was 1,430X greater in a porgy (*Pachymetopan grande*) than in a goosefish (*Lophius piscatorius*) from the same collection (Van As et al. 1973).

Accumulation of chromium under controlled conditions has been documented for speckled sanddab (Mearns and Young 1977) and Atlantic croaker (Baptist et al. 1970). Sanddabs held in seawater solutions containing 3 to 5 ppm Cr+6 contained up to 100 mg Cr/kg intestine (dry weight), 10 in liver, and 3 in muscle (Mearns and Young 1977). Sanddabs accumulated significant concentrations of Cr in various tissues during long-term exposure in seawater concentrations as low as 16 ppb Cr+6 (Mearns and Young 1977). Baptist et al. (1970), who studied the retention of radiochromium-51 in croakers following a single intraperitoneal injection, wrote that retention was expressed as two exponential rate functions: 70 days for the long-lived component and 20 days for the short-lived component.

**BIRDS**

Male domestic chickens fed diets containing up to 100 ppm of Cr+6 for 32 days showed no adverse effects in survival, growth, or food utilization efficiency (Rosomer et al. 1961). However, teratogenic effects were documented in chicken embryos after eggs had been injected with Cr+6. Deformities included short and twisted
limbs, microphthalmia, exencephaly, everted viscera, growth stunting, and parrot beaks (Ridgeway and Karnofsky 1952; Gilani and Marano 1979). The highest incidence of teratogenic effects was observed at Cr+6 concentrations that caused some deaths, and when the administration route was through the chorioallantoic membrane as opposed to the yolk; no teratogenic effects were observed with Cr+3 salts (Ridgeway and Karnofsky 1952).

Young American black ducks (*Anas rubripes*) absorbed anionic Cr species more readily than cationic forms from the intestines, strongly indicating that ionic Cr state should be considered when avian dietary toxicity studies are being planned (Eastin et al. 1980). Adult black ducks fed diets containing 10 or 50 ppm anionic Cr+3, as Cr\(\text{K(SO}_4\text{)}\text{2.12 H}_2\text{O}\), for 5 months were normal in survival, reproduction, and blood chemistry. However, in ducklings from treated groups that were fed Cr-contaminated diets at original parental dosages, growth patterns were altered and survival was reduced (Haseltine et al. 1985). In another study with black ducks, adults were fed diets containing 0, 20, or 100 ppm anionic Cr+3 and ducklings from these pairs were fed the same diets for 7 days; tests of avoidance responses of the ducklings to a fright stimulus showed that the Cr had no significant effect on their behavior (Heinz and Haseltine 1981).

**MAMMALS**

Chromium is causally associated with mutations and malignancy (Leonard and Lawerys 1980; Norseth 1981). Under appropriate conditions, Cr is a human and animal carcinogenic agent; its biological effects depend on chemical form, solubility, and valence. In general, Cr+6 compounds are hazardous to animals, whereas metallic Cr and Cr+3 are essentially nontoxic (Gale 1978); however, exposure to water solubilized Cr+3 has caused cancers and dermatitis in workers, and toxicity in rabbits (Hatherill 1981). In the chromate producing industry workers who developed respiratory cancer had been exposed to 30 to 1,100 ug/m\(^3\) Cr in air for periods of 4 to 24 years, and workers producing chromate pigment who developed respiratory cancer had been subjected to an estimated Cr+6 exposure of 500 to 1,500 ug/m\(^3\) for 6 to 9 years. Carcinogens released in the chromate manufacturing process have not yet been identified (Post and Campbell 1980). Levels as low as 10 ug/m\(^3\) of Cr+6 in air produced strong irritation in nasal membranes, even after short exposures. In some persons whose lower respiratory tissues became Cr-sensitized, asthmatic attacks occurred at levels of Cr+6 as low as 2.5 ug/m\(^3\) (Steven et al. 1976). There is no evidence of Cr sensitization in mammals other than humans. In the only animal study demonstrating a carcinogenic effect of an inhaled chromate, adenocarcinomas were reported in the bronchial tree of mice exposed throughout life to CaCrO\(_4\) dust at 13 mg/m\(^3\) (4,330 ug Cr+6/m\(^3\)) for 35 h weekly (Langard and Norseth 1979). Trivalent Cr compounds did not produce respiratory cancers (Steven et al. 1976). In rabbits, both Cr+3 and Cr+6, given 1.7 mg/kg body weight daily for 6 weeks, adversely affected blood and serum chemistry, and both produced significant morphological changes in liver (Tandon et al. 1978); similar results were observed in rats (Laj et al. 1984). Although damage effects and residue accumulations were greater in rabbits treated with Cr+6, water soluble Cr+3 compounds also may have significant biological activity (Tandon et al. 1978).

Hexavalent Cr compounds may cause skin ulceration, irritative dermatitis, ulcerations in mucous membranes, and perforations of the nasal septum. That inhalation of Cr+6 compounds may cause bronchial carcinomas has been well documented in humans (Langard and Norseth 1979). Skin lesions or ulcers were produced in guinea pigs when solutions containing 30,000 ppm of Cr+6 were applied to abraded skin or if the natural oils were removed from the skin beforehand; Cr+3 in concentrations as high as 100,000 ppm had no ulcerogenic effects (Steven et al. 1976). Allergic guinea pigs developed dermatitis when exposed to solutions of either Cr+6 or Cr+3 at concentrations as low as 10 ppb (Steven et al. 1976). In nonallergic animals, these effects were observed after repeated exposures to solutions containing 1,000 to 3,000 ppm of Cr+3 or Cr+6 salts. Local sarcomas in muscle and local carcinomas of the skin have also been demonstrated in small laboratory animals exposed to Cr+6 (Langard and Norseth 1979). Kidney and liver lesions in rats were observed when the drinking water contained 134 ppm of Cr+6 for 2 to 3 months (Steven et al. 1976).

Hexavalent Cr has established its mutagenic activity in a wide array of screening tests, whereas insoluble Cr+3 forms appear to be inactive, in analogous evaluations—perhaps because Cr+3 absorption is poor in the systems analyzed (Hatherill 1981). Studies with tissue cultures of ovary cells of the Chinese hamster showed that the addition of 52 ppb of Cr+6 not only induced sister chromatid exchanges but also inhibited cell
proliferation; there was no measurable effect at 0.52 ppb Cr+6. Trivalent Cr at 520 ppb did not measurably affect cell proliferation or chromatic exchanges (Uyeki and Nishio 1983). Genotoxic effects of Cr+6 are reversed by the addition of reducing agents or ascorbic acid (Hatherill 1981; Uyeki and Nishio 1983). Chromosomal rearrangements and aberrations were recorded in rabbit cells after exposure to Cr+6 (Hatherill 1981). Teratogenic effects induced by intravenous administration of 5 mg Cr+6/kg body weight to pregnant golden hamsters included cleft palates and defects in the ossification of the skeletal system (Gale 1978).

Accumulations of Cr in tissues and organism depend heavily on its chemical form, route of entry, and amount administered (Yamaguchi et al. 1983). Tissue accumulations were significant in dogs exposed to drinking water concentrations of 11.2 ppm Cr; but were nil at 6 ppm (Steven et al. 1976). Although both Cr+3 and +6 accumulated in brain, kidney, and myocardium of rabbits, the accumulation of Cr+6 was highest in brain and that of Cr+3 in kidney; for both valence states there was no correlation between dose and concentration of stored Cr, or extent of tissue damage (Hatherill 1981). Tissue residues in mice given 0.1 ppm Cr+6 in food and water during lifetime exposure ranged from 0.1 mg Cr/kg fresh weight in liver to 0.7 in heart; mice given 5.1 ppm for a similar period contained 0.5 to 1.8 mg Cr/kg fresh weight in tissues, the residues being highest in the heart and spleen (Schroeder et al. 1964). Trivalent Cr was poorly absorbed from the intestinal tract of rats (<1% of an oral dose), whereas absorption of Cr+6 ranged from 3 to 6% (Langard and Norseth 1979). However, both Cr+3 and Cr+6 traverse placental barriers in mice when administered intravenously (Steven et al. 1976; Langard and Norseth 1979). All chemical forms of chromium, except chromates, cleared rapidly from the blood of rats. At dose levels of 60 to 250 ug/kg body weight, Cr+6 tended to accumulate in the reticuloendothelial system, liver, spleen, and bone marrow; at the much lower doses of 10 and 1 ug/kg body weight, major accumulation sites were the bone, marrow, spleen, testes, and epididymis (Langard and Norseth 1979). Female rats given a single i.v. injection of radiochromium-51 depurated the isotope primarily by urinary clearance, and secondarily by fecal and residual clearances over an 11-day period. Retained radiochromium-51 accumulated over time in bone, kidney, spleen, and liver (Onkelinx 1977). For multicompartmental excretion patterns recorded in rats, biological half-lives of the three components were estimated to be 0.5, 5.9, and 83.4 days; in mammals, Cr is excreted primarily in urine (Langard and Norseth 1979). At least three distinct Cr+6 excretion patterns exist in rats: blood has a single component, with a biological half-life of 13.9 days; testes, brain, kidney and lung have two components; and liver has three components with half-lives of 2.4 hours, 52.8 hours, and 15.7 days (Yamaguchi et al. 1983). Excretion patterns for Cr+3 in rats were unpredictable and impossible to calculate (Yamaguchi et al. 1983). The excretion patterns for fecal Cr among 40 grazing Angus cows given 20 g dietary Cr2O3 (13.6 g Cr+6) daily for 72 days was diurnal; excretion was lowest at 8 p.m. and highest at 9 a.m. (Hopper et al. 1978).

FIELD INVESTIGATIONS

There is a wealth of data concerning the effects of chromium on living organisms under laboratory conditions simulating those encountered in the vicinity of high Cr discharges and accumulations typical of electroplating plants, tanneries, ocean dumping sites, and municipal waste outfalls. However, little research has been conducted under actual field conditions, except in three general fields: occupational exposures of humans in the chromate industry (discussed earlier), accidental poisoning of livestock resulting from oil-field activities, and Cr accumulations in ecosystems impacted by discharges associated with cooling waters or cooling towers.

All cases of accidental chromate poisoning in cattle have resulted from the exposure of animals to chromate compounds associated with oil field activities. Chromates are used as a corrosion inhibitor between the pipe and casing and are often added to drilling fluids (in the form of chromelignosulfonate) to improve thermal stability. One recorded case involved 20 mature cows and their 8-month-old calves, grazing in a native pasture where an oil well had just been completed. One cow and calf died and another cow and calf became uncoordinated and thin, and the feces contained bloody mucous. The calf soon died. The cow aborted, but appeared to recover completely. Liver from the dead calf had 14.8 mg Cr/kg fresh weight vs 1.8 in controls; levels of arsenic and lead were not elevated (Reagor and McDonald 1980). The cause of death was the consumption by the animals of concentrated sodium chromate found near the well site. In other cases, 2 of 80 heifers died after consuming concentrated zinc chromate, and 10 cows and one calf died after they had ingested ammonium chromate. In poisoned cows, Cr concentrations were 500 mg/kg in stomach contents, 15.8 ppm fresh weight in kidney vs. 3.0 ppm in controls, and 1.1 ppm in blood vs. 0.02 ppm for controls (Kerr and Edwards 1981).

Chromium is widely used as a corrosion inhibitor in cooling waters by the electric power industry. Its use in this capacity involves addition of a Cr+6 salt, typically sodium dichromate, which forms an oxide on metal
surfaces. Chromates are subsequently released to surface waters in high concentrations, compared with background levels of Cr in most freshwaters. In White Oak Lake (Eastern Tennessee), which received chronic inputs of chromates from cooling towers located on two tributary streams, typical Cr+6 concentrations of 3 to 10 ppm in waste effluents produced 100 to 300 ppb of Cr+6 in White Oak Lake vs. 5 ppb in a control area (Elwood et al. 1980). Concentrations of Cr in muscle of bluegills and largemouth bass from White Oak Lake did not differ significantly from those in fish from a control site—suggesting that these species either effectively regulated Cr concentration or that the elevated Cr levels in White Oak Lake (where 20 to 73% of the total chromium was Cr+6) were in a form that was unavailable for absorption into tissues. Elwood et al. (1980) suggested that Cr is an element with a determinant concentration in fish, and that accumulation is independent of environmental concentration. This concept requires validation. Noteworthy is the observation that Cr concentrations were lower in muscle and body of older freshwater teleosts—an observation consistent with the findings of Eisler (1984), who noted that liver Cr decreased with increasing age in marine teleosts.

Cooling towers of uranium enrichment facilities and gaseous diffusion plants, similar to those of 1,000-MW conventional steam electric stations, contain a chromate zinc-phosphate compound to inhibit corrosion and fouling within the cooling system. A small fraction of the cooling water, containing about 20 ppm of Cr+6, becomes entrained within the exit air flow and is deposited as drift on the landscape, together with other salts found in the recirculating water system, such as sodium pentachlorophenate, chromated copper arsenate, and acid copper chromate. Effects of the Cr component on biological systems have been under investigation in Kentucky and Tennessee for many years (Taylor and Parr 1978; Taylor 1980; Taylor et al. 1975, 1979, 1983). Analysis of vegetation along distance gradients from the cooling towers identified areas of significant drift deposition, accumulation, and magnitude of atmospheric transport over the landscape. At 13 m downwind from the point source, plant foliar concentrations of Cr were highest in winter at 1,390 ppm dry weight, decreasing to 190 in spring, and to 173 in summer as the demand for cooling—and hence the operation time of the facility—decreased. Decreased accumulations on foliage probably reflected high mobility due to leaching, and the short life span of individual leaves. In contrast, Cr concentrations in plant litter at 13 m increased from 894 ppm dry weight during winter to 1,890 ppm in summer and 2,140 ppm in autumn. Accumulation of Cr in the litter was probably related to the higher surface-to-volume ratio in the litter biomass resulting from seasonal senescence of foliage. Taylor and his coworkers emphasized that no adverse biological effects were observed in native vegetation bearing high Cr residues. Concentrations in plants and litter decreased with increasing distance from the cooling towers: concentrations in foliage at 168, 530, and 923 m downwind were 157, 10, and 1.3 ppm (dry weight), respectively; for litter, these values were 421, 24, and 5.8. Potted tobacco plants (Nicotiana tabacum) proved to be sensitive indicators of Cr contamination. Tobacco plants placed 15 m from the towers contained 30X background levels after 1 week and up to 237 ppm dry weight in 5 weeks; in plants placed 200 m downwind, leaf growth was reduced 75% after 7 weeks. Beetles and crickets collected near the towers contained 9 to 37 ppm Cr in gut contents (vs. 0.5 to 0.8 ppm for controls); however, assimilation rates were not measured. Cotton rats trapped in a fescue field adjacent to a large mechanical draft cooling tower contained up to 10X more Cr in hair, pelt, and bone than controls, but accumulations were negligible in viscera and other internal organs. Licking of the coat by rats appeared to be a primary route of Cr uptake—a likelihood confirmed experimentally by Langard and Nordhagen (1980). Feeding of radiochromium-51 to cotton rats demonstrated low assimilation (0.8%), and rapid initial loss of Cr+6 (99% in 1 day)—suggesting that Cr is neither essential to cotton rats nor accumulated to any great extent through ingestion of drift-contaminated vegetation or inhalation of drift-contaminated air (Taylor 1980). Biological half times of Cr assimilated by man and cotton rats were similar: 616 and 693 days, respectively. The magnitude of the half times suggests that Cr derived from a chromate has a high potential for biological interaction, but that fractional assimilation is very low—thus reducing the likelihood of toxic effects.

CURRENT RECOMMENDATIONS

As reported here, sensitive species of freshwater aquatic organisms showed reduced growth, inhibited reproduction, and increased bioaccumulation at 10.0 ug/L of Cr+6, and other adverse effects at 30.0 ug/L of Cr+3. Among marine organisms, measurable accumulations were recorded in oysters and worms at 5.0 ug/L of Cr+6, algal growth was reduced at 10.0 ug/L, and reproduction of polychaete annelid worms was inhibited at 12.5 ug/L; in all situations, Cr+3 was less damaging than Cr+6. For birds and mammals, dietary levels of 10.0 mg Cr+3/kg adversely affected young black ducks, and 5.1 mg Cr+6/kg in food and water of mice was associated with elevated tissue residues. The significance of Cr residues is unclear, but available evidence suggests that organs and tissues of fish and wildlife that contain 4.0 mg total Cr/kg dry weight should be viewed
as presumptive evidence of Cr contamination. Aerosol concentrations in excess of 10.0 ug Cr+6/m$^3$ are potentially harmful to human health; in the absence of supporting data, this value is recommended for protection of sensitive species of wildlife, especially migratory waterfowl.

Proposed criteria for the protection of various environmental compartments against chromium are numerous, disparate, and often contradictory (Table 6). Some of this confusion may be attributable to the general lack of confidence in analyses of chromium residues conducted some years ago, and some to the continued inability to quantify chemical species and ionic states of Cr. Uncertainties about the metabolic role of organochromium compounds, water soluble Cr+3 species, and their interactions with other components in complex and potentially toxic mixtures, further confound the issue. The essentiality of Cr to some, but not all, species of mammals is recognized, but comparable data for other groups of organisms are missing. Finally, the wide range in sensitivities and accumulation rates documented between different taxonomic groups, and even among closely related species, to Cr+3 and Cr+6 salts merits elucidation. Until these questions are resolved, the acceptance of uniform Cr criteria is debatable, and their passage to administratively legislated standards is contraindicated.
### Table 6. Proposed chromium criteria for protection of selected resources.

| Category and criterion (units in parentheses) | Chromium concentration | Reference
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------
| **Freshwater aquatic life protection (µg/L)**  |                         |           |
| USA                                           | <0.29 Cr+6 as 24 h average; not to exceed 21 Cr+6 at any time | 1         |
| Water hardness, in mg CaCO₃/L                  |                         |           |
| 50                                            | <2,200 Cr+3 at any time | 1         |
| 100                                           | <4,700 Cr+3 at any time | 1         |
| 200                                           | <9,900 Cr+3 at any time | 1         |
| Colorado                                      | <25 Cr+6; <100 Cr+3     | 2         |
| Florida                                       |                         |           |
| Effluent discharges                           | <500 Cr+6; <1,000 total Cr | 2         |
| Recovery waters                               | <50 total Cr            |           |
| Indiana                                       | Not to exceed 0.1X 96-h LC-50 of aquatic species | 2         |
| Most waters                                   | <50 total Cr            | 2         |
| Lake Michigan                                 |                         |           |
| **Marine aquatic life protection (µg/L)**      | <18 Cr+6 as 24 h average; not to exceed 1,260 Cr+6 at any time | 1         |
| USA                                           | Insufficient data base for Cr+3 at this time, but presumably less stringent than Cr+6 | 1         |
| California                                    | <2 total Cr, 6 month median; <8 total Cr, daily maximum; <20 total Cr, instantaneous mix | 2         |
| California                                    | Waste discharges into marine waters | 2         |
| **Drinking water (µg/L)**                     | <5 total Cr for 50% of measurements; <10 for 10% of measurements | 3         |
| USA                                           | <50 Cr+6; <170,000 Cr+3 | 1         |
| California                                    | <50 total Cr            | 2         |
| Colorado                                      | <50 Cr+6; <50 Cr+3      | 2         |
| Florida                                       | <50 total Cr            | 2         |
| Brazil                                        | <50 total Cr            | 4         |
| USSR                                          | <600 total Cr           | 4         |
| **Agricultural water (µg/L)**                 | <100 of Cr+6; <100 of Cr+3 | 2         |
| Irrigation Colorado                           | <50 total Cr            | 2         |
| **Diet**                                      |                         |           |
| Human health protection                       |                         |           |
| Normal dietary intake                         | 50 to 70 g Cr+3 daily   | 2         |
| "                                            | 30 to 100 µg total Cr daily | 5         |
| Food stuffs                                   | 100 to 300 g total Cr/kg fresh weight | 2         |
| **Tissue residues (µg/kg fresh weight)**      |                         |           |
| Human soft tissues                            | <30 total Cr            | 2         |
| Animal tissues                                | <200 total Cr           | 2         |
| **Air (µg/m³)**                               | <50 total Cr            | 6         |
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