












PIF Plan Update Survey

1. How did you receive a copy of the plan?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Picked it up at a meeting or conference		14.4%	58
Received a copy from my organization		26.9%	108
Received a copy from a colleague at another organization		7.7%	31
Requested a copy after learning of plan on the PIF web site		6.0%	24
Requested a copy after learning of plan through a means other than the PIF web site		6.7%	27
Downloaded it online		17.4%	70
I can't recall how I got a copy		14.4%	58
Other (please specify)		6.5%	26
		answered question	402
		skipped question	3

2. In the past 12 months, how many times did you use or refer to the plan?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Never		18.9%	70
1-5 times		54.1%	200
6-10 times		12.2%	45
More than 10 times		14.3%	53
		answered question	370
		skipped question	35



3. How do you use/have you used the plan? (select as many as apply)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Reference information on PIF		42.3%	151
Reference information on bird conservation		62.2%	222
Identify or set conservation priorities		47.6%	170
Identify or set conservation objectives		35.3%	126
Identify funding priorities		10.1%	36
Identify education or outreach priorities		9.8%	35
Identify research or monitoring priorities		37.8%	135
Find information about a species or group of species		51.0%	182
Make use of population estimates, assessment scores, biome scores, or other data in plan		49.3%	176
Step-down plan concepts to the regional or local scale		29.7%	106
Convince others to create a similar conservation plan		4.2%	15
Convince others of importance of bird conservation		24.1%	86
Introduce others to PIF		19.0%	68
Other (please describe)		8.4%	30
		answered question	357
		skipped question	48



4. How useful have you found each section of the plan? Please select one response per line. Remember you can access the plan at http://www.partnersinflight.org/cont_plan/

	Not at all useful	Slightly useful	Somewhat useful	Moderately useful	Highly useful	Response Count
Executive Summary	2.1% (6)	11.6% (33)	33.3% (95)	33.7% (96)	19.3% (55)	285
Part I: Continental Plan Introduction	1.8% (5)	13.3% (37)	33.0% (92)	33.3% (93)	18.6% (52)	279
Part I: Assessing Conservation Vulnerability	0.7% (2)	5.6% (16)	18.2% (52)	42.8% (122)	32.6% (93)	285
Part I: Species of Continental Importance and Table 1	0.7% (2)	3.4% (10)	9.5% (28)	25.2% (74)	61.2% (180)	294
Part I: Continental Landbird Objectives	2.1% (6)	5.1% (15)	19.5% (57)	41.4% (121)	31.8% (93)	292
Part I: Research and Monitoring Needs and Taking Action	2.1% (6)	9.3% (27)	19.9% (58)	37.1% (108)	31.6% (92)	291
Part II: Conservation Issues and Recommendations (by biome)	0.7% (2)	8.2% (24)	20.1% (59)	36.4% (107)	34.7% (102)	294
Part II: Tables of Species of Continental Importance (by biome)	0.7% (2)	4.5% (13)	14.1% (41)	29.9% (87)	50.9% (148)	291
Literature Cited	4.6% (13)	17.6% (50)	31.7% (90)	28.2% (80)	18.0% (51)	284
Appendix A. Assessment scores	2.4% (7)	9.7% (28)	20.3% (59)	24.5% (71)	43.1% (125)	290
Appendix B. Methods used to estimate population sizes	3.4% (10)	13.3% (39)	27.3% (80)	27.6% (81)	28.3% (83)	293
Appendix C. Wetland-associated Landbird Species of Continental Importance	5.5% (16)	14.3% (42)	27.0% (79)	29.7% (87)	23.5% (69)	293
Appendix D. Species of Continental Importance in Bird Conservation Regions 69 - Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands	32.1% (92)	23.7% (68)	19.5% (56)	12.5% (36)	12.2% (35)	287
	answered question					303
	skipped question					102

5. What aspect of the plan have you used the most?






		Response Percent	Response Count
Text		19.3%	58
Graphics/images		4.0%	12
Maps		11.3%	34
Tables		65.4%	197
Other (please specify)			12
		<i>answered question</i>	301
		<i>skipped question</i>	104

6. Overall, how satisfied have you been with the plan?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Not at all satisfied		1.0%	3
Slightly satisfied		3.0%	9
Somewhat satisfied		10.4%	31
Moderately satisfied		49.7%	148
Highly satisfied		35.9%	107
		<i>answered question</i>	298
		<i>skipped question</i>	107

7. How would you rate the appropriateness of the level of technicality of the plan?			Response Percent	Response Count
Needs to be highly LESS technical			0.3%	1
Needs to be LESS technical			5.2%	15
Appropriate as is			73.5%	214
Needs to be MORE technical			19.9%	58
Needs to be highly MORE technical			1.0%	3
		answered question		291
		skipped question		114

8. Have you recommended this plan to others?			Response Percent	Response Count
No			23.8%	71
Yes			76.2%	227
		Please specify why you have or have not recommended the plan.		164
		answered question		298
		skipped question		107

9. How could the plan be improved?			Response Percent	Response Count
overall			62.6%	87
for providing background information			22.3%	31
for identifying priorities for funding, education, or research			43.2%	60
for making use of estimates, scores, and trends			46.8%	65
for convincing others			36.0%	50
by adding a new component/section			43.9%	61
related to the images, graphs, and tables			33.1%	46
other			12.9%	18
		answered question		139
		skipped question		266

10. Please add any additional comments on the plan or the revision here.			Response Count
			53
		answered question	53
		skipped question	352




11. Are you aware that the following are available online?				
	Yes and I have accessed it.	Yes and I have not accessed it.	No	Response Count
Plan in pdf	68.1% (205)	24.9% (75)	7.0% (21)	301
Plan in pdf in French	0.7% (2)	23.9% (65)	75.4% (205)	272
PIF Species Assessment Database (Continental and Regional tables)	50.2% (149)	24.9% (74)	24.9% (74)	297
PIF Population Estimates Database (Continental and Regional tables)	44.6% (131)	32.7% (96)	22.8% (67)	294
	answered question			304
	skipped question			101

12. In the future will you need a paper copy of the revised plan (even if it is available electronically)?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
No		43.6%	132
Yes, specify WHY		56.4%	171
	answered question		303
	skipped question		102

13. In which avifaunal biomes do you work (see inside cover of plan for definition)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Arctic		8.3%	25
Northern Forest		24.3%	73
Pacific		22.7%	68
Intermountain West		24.0%	72
Southwest		22.7%	68
Prairie		26.7%	80
Eastern		43.7%	131
		<i>answered question</i>	300
		<i>skipped question</i>	105

14. In what type of organization do you work?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Bird observatory		4.4%	13
Conservation organization		18.0%	53
Other non-government organization		3.1%	9
Joint venture		3.7%	11
Federal government agency		41.7%	123
State/provincial/territorial government agency		16.9%	50
Local government agency		1.0%	3
Nature center		0.7%	2
Zoo		0.3%	1
University		6.1%	18
Business or industry		4.1%	12
		Other (please specify)	32

	<i>answered question</i>	295
	<i>skipped question</i>	110



15. What amount of your time in your job is spent on research or monitoring related to birds?			Response Percent	Response Count
Less than 25%			54.3%	164
26-50%			22.2%	67
51-75%			10.6%	32
76-100%			12.9%	39
	<i>answered question</i>			302
	<i>skipped question</i>			103




16. What amount of time in your job is spent on education, outreach, communication, or interpretation related to birds?			Response Percent	Response Count
Less than 25%			76.8%	228
26-50%			17.8%	53
51-75%			3.4%	10
76-100%			2.0%	6
	<i>answered question</i>			297
	<i>skipped question</i>			108


17. What amount of time in your job is spent on on-the-ground implementation related to birds (e.g., projects, management, regulation, acquisition, restoration)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Less than 25%		58.0%	174
26-50%		28.3%	85
51-75%		9.3%	28
76-100%		4.3%	13
		<i>answered question</i>	300
		<i>skipped question</i>	105

18. What amount of time in your job is spent on assessment, conservation coordination, and conservation planning related to birds?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Less than 25%		49.7%	150
26-50%		29.1%	88
51-75%		13.2%	40
76-100%		7.9%	24
		<i>answered question</i>	302
		<i>skipped question</i>	103

19. At what levels do you actively participate in Partners in Flight? (select as many as apply)			Response Percent	Response Count
State level (e.g., CalPIF)			59.9%	127
Regional level (e.g., Western Working Group)			50.9%	108
National level (e.g., Costa Rica PIF)			25.0%	53
International level (e.g., PIF Implementation Committee, PIF Science Committee)			17.0%	36
			<i>answered question</i>	212
			<i>skipped question</i>	193

20. How would you like to receive a copy of the plan when it is available?			Response Percent	Response Count
No, thanks.			2.3%	7
Paper copy			56.3%	169
Electronic notification to access it online			40.3%	121
			<i>answered question</i>	300
			<i>skipped question</i>	105

21. Provide your email or mail address--depending on your preferred means to receive the new plan. Be assured that your contact information and name will NEVER be associated with your responses to the survey. All responses are anonymous and confidential.		Response Count
		275
		<i>answered question</i>
		275
		<i>skipped question</i>
		130